Report No. ES20058	London Borough of Bromley PART ONE - PUBLIC					
Decision Maker:	Environment and Community Services PDS Committee and Public Protection and Enforcement PDS Committee					
Date:	14 th January 2021 and 19 th January 2021					
Decision Type:	Non-Urgent	Non-Executive	Non-Key			
Title:	Risk Register					
Contact Officer:	Sarah Foster, Assistant Director of Performance Management and Business Support, Tel: 020 8313 4023 Email: Sarah.Foster@Bromley.gov.uk Lucy West, Senior Performance Officer Tel: 020 8461 7726 Email: Lucy.West @Bromley.gov.uk					
Chief Officer:	Colin Brand, Director of Environment & Public Protection					
Ward:	All Wards					

1. <u>Reason for report</u>

- 1.1 This report presents the revised Environment & Public Protection Risk Register for detailed scrutiny by both PDS Committees.
- 1.2 This appended Risk Register also forms part of the Annual Governance Statement evidencebase and has been reviewed by: E&PP DMT, Corporate Risk Management Group; and Audit Sub-Committee.

2. **RECOMMENDATIONS**

That the Environment and Community Services PDS Committee and Public Protection and Enforcement PDS Committee reviews and comments on the appended E&PP Risk Register. It should be noted that each risk has been highlighted as being relevant to one committee only (and therefore should be discussed at the relevant meeting).

Impact on Vulnerable Adults and Children

1. Summary of Impact: The appended Risk Register covers services provided by the E&PP Department and some borough-wide risks. Addressing the impact of service provision on vulnerable adults and children is a matter for the relevant procurement strategies, contracts and service delivery rather than this high-level Risk Register report.

Corporate Policy

- 1. Policy Status: Existing Policy:
- 2. BBB Priority: Excellent Council:

Financial

- 1. Cost of proposal: N/A
- 2. Ongoing costs: N/A
- 3. Budget head/performance centre: E&CS and PP&E Portfolios
- 4. Total current budget for this head: £31.34m and £2.46m
- 5. Source of funding: Existing controllable revenue budget 2020/21

Personnel

- 1. Number of staff (current and additional): 144.66 FTEs and 46.3 FTEs
- 2. If from existing staff resources, number of staff hours: N/A

<u>Legal</u>

- 1. Legal Requirement: Statutory Requirement:
- 2. Call-in: Not Applicable:

Procurement

1. Summary of Procurement Implications: Risk management contributes to contract management and good governance.

Customer Impact

1. Estimated number of users/beneficiaries (current and projected): N/A

Ward Councillor Views

- 1. Have Ward Councillors been asked for comments? N/A
- 2. Summary of Ward Councillors comments: N/A

3. COMMENTARY

Risk Register Background

- 3.1 The Council's aims are set out in <u>Building a Better Bromley</u> and the Portfolio Plans, and a risk can be defined as anything which could negatively affect the associated outcomes. Some level of risk will be associated with any service provision: the question is how best to manage that risk down to an acceptable level? (this is known as our 'risk appetite')
- 3.2 It follows that the Council should be able to clearly and regularly detail the main departmental risks and related mitigation measures to ensure a) that desired outcomes are achieved and b) to allow for Member scrutiny the purpose of this report.
- 3.3 Although the appended E&PP Risk Register is comprehensive, departmental risk management activity is certainly not exclusive to this report. For instance:
 - major programmes and services (e.g. Tree Management Strategy) will have associated Risk Registers (such registers are reviewed by the relevant Programme / Service Boards);
 - financial risk is addressed in each Portfolio's Budget Monitoring Reports and, more generally, in the Council's Annual Financial Strategy Report;
 - audit risk is captured through the Audit Programme's planned and investigative activity and associated reports and management action requirements;
 - contract risk forms part of the Contracts Database (all contracts are now quantified and ranked according to the risk presented to the Council). The new Environmental Services Contract, therefore, appears both in this Risk Register and the Corporate Contracts Register, due to its size and complexity.
- 3.4 In 2016/17 Zurich Municipal (the Council's insurer) undertook a 'check and challenge' review (involving all management teams) of the Council's general approach and the individual risks. This resulted a new-style of register and a greater consistency of approach across the Council. Zurich attended during 2018/19 to repeat this exercise with all E&PP risk owners.
- 3.5 It was agreed that Risk Registers should be presented to each Departmental Management Team, the relevant PDS committee, and Audit Sub-Committee twice a year (minimum) to allow activity to be scrutinised in a regular and systematic manner. Individual risks should naturally be reviewed (by Risk Owners) at a frequency proportionate to the risk presented (see appendix).
- 3.6 In addition to its use for management and reporting purposes, the Risk Register also forms part of E&PP's evidence-base for contributing to the Council's Annual Governance Statement (which, itself, forms part of the Council's end-of-year management procedures).
- 3.7 Risks from all three departments are considered at the (officer) Corporate Risk Management Group (CRMG), which reviewed all the Risk Registers when it last met on 25th September 2020 and at Audit Sub-Committee, which last met on 3rd November 2020. The next CRMG meeting will take place on 25th January 2021.
- 3.8 At the time of writing, the Council has 116 individual risks (105 departmental plus 11, high-level, Corporate Risks (covering key risks which apply to the Council as a whole).
- 3.9 E&PP Department currently has 26 risks (~22% of the Council's total).
- 3.10 The appended E&PP Risk Register is summarised below. Each risk is scored using a combination of the 'likelihood' (definite to remote) and 'impact' (insignificant to catastrophic) to produce a 'gross rating' (prior to controls) and 'net rating' (post management controls) see

Appendix. No E&PP risks are currently ragged 'red' following implementation of management control measures.

Ref	Risk & Description	Gross Risk Rating	Net Risk Rating	
1	Emergency Response: Failure to respond effectively to a major emergency / incident internally or externally	8	6	
2	Central Depot Access: Major incident resulting in loss of / reduced Depot access affecting service provision (LBB's main vehicle depot)	12	9	
3	Fuel Availability: Fuel shortage impacting on transport fleet / service delivery	5	4	
4	Business Continuity Arrangements: Lack of up-to-date, tried and tested, BCP for all Council services	8	8	
5	Industrial Action: Contractors' staff work-to-rule / take strike action impacting on service delivery	12	8	
6	Health & Safety (E&PP): Ineffective management, processes and systems within E&PP departmentally	12	8	
7	Highways Management: Deterioration of the Highway Network due to under- investment	8	6	
8	Arboricultural Management: Failure to inspect and maintain Bromley's tree stock leading to insurance claims etc	12	6	
9	Income Variation (Highways and Parking) (Non-Covid): Loss of income at a time when the Council is looking to grow income to off-set reduced funding	9	6	
10	Waste Budget: Increasing waste tonnages resulting in increased waste management costs	20	12	
11	Town Centre Businesses and Markets: Loss of town centre businesses to competition	15	6	
12	Staff Resourcing and Capability: Loss of corporate memory and ability to deliver as key staff leave (good new staff are at a premium)	12	9	
13	Climate Change: Failure to adapt the borough and Council services to our changing climate	12	8	
14	Income Reconciliation (Public Protection Licensing): Uncertainty around income reconciliation when the Council is looking to grow income to offset reduced funding	6	6	
15	Income Reconciliation (Waste Management): Uncertainty around income reconciliation linked to the mobilisation of new waste contracts	6	2	
16	Dogs and Pests Contract: Failure to deliver the contract to the required service levels	6	4	
17	Out of Hours Noise Service: Failure to deliver statutory services	12	12	
18	Integrated Offender Management: Failure to contribute to IOM in Bromley	12	12	
19	Anti-Social Behaviour Co-Ordinator post: Failure to deliver ASB problem solving and partnership activity	12	12	
20	Gangs and Serious Youth Violence Officer: Inability to deliver strategic coordinated gang disruption work with partners across the borough	12	4	
21	The provision of 24/7 CCTV Monitoring: Inability to provide 24-7 CCTV monitoring	12	6	
22	Loss of Income from Licensing: Lost income from alcohol and gambling licenses	12	9	
23	Risk to Health: Officers exposed to COVID-19 through enforcement visits	12	9	
24	Staff Resourcing - Public Protection Enforcement: Inability to deliver to existing statutory responsibilities	9	6	
25	Increased Costs for Coroners Service: Additional estimated costs due to high risk post mortems	12	9	
26	COVID-19 related loss of income (Parking): Greatly reduced income from parking charges and from enforcement activity. Failure to deliver transport improvements.	20	12	

- 3.11 The risks (including causes and effects) are described in more detail in the appended Risk Register. Each risk is assigned a category (Compliance & Regulation, Finance, Service Delivery, Reputation and Health & Safety) and scored – using a combination of the 'likelihood' and 'impact' both being assessed on a scale of 1-5 – to produce a gross risk score.
- 3.12 Current controls designed to mitigate the risk are also listed and these, in turn, generally result in a (lower) net risk score. Finally, additional actions are listed for the Risk Owner to consider to further reduce the level of risk (commensurate with their risk appetite). Risk Ownership will be regularly reviewed and adjusted in light of any changes to the LBB Corporate Leadership Team structure.

4. IMPACT ON VULNERABLE ADULTS & CHILDREN

4.1 The appended Risk Register covers environmental services, which tend to be universal in nature, rather than being specifically directed towards vulnerable adults and children. It also covers Public Protection activities which do impact on vulnerable people – for example the Trading Standards team are responsible for safeguarding vulnerable adults who may be targeted by rogue traders and the Anti-Social behaviour and Gangs and Serious Youth Violence teams are actively targeting and supporting those young people that are at risk of crime.

5. POLICY IMPLICATIONS

5.1 The Council's renewed policy ambition for the borough is set out in <u>Building a Better Bromley</u> and the various Portfolio Plans. Risk Registers help to deliver these policy aims by identifying issues which could impact on 'ensuring good contract management to ensure value-for-money and quality services' and putting in place mitigation measures to reduce risk and help deliver the policy aims and objectives.

6. PROCUREMENT IMPLICATIONS

6.1 Contract and hence procurement risk is mainly captured in the Contracts Database and Contracts Register Report rather than this Risk Register Report. That said, progress with mobilising the new Environmental Services Contract is captured in the appended register due to the contract's strategic importance.

7. FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

7.1 There are no direct financial implications arising from this report, however the Risk Register does identify areas that could have financial risks.

8. PERSONNEL IMPLICATIONS

8.1 There are no direct personnel implications but the Risk Register does identify service areas where recruitment and capacity present challenges (e.g. 12: Staff Resourcing and Capability).

9. LEGAL IMPLICATIONS

9.1 There are no direct legal implications but the Risk Register does identify some regulatory and legal issues: e.g. compliance with Health & Safety law and Industrial Action.

Non-Applicable Sections:	None		
Background Documents: (Access via Contact Officer)	None		

RISK REGISTER REPORT (ES18037): RISK ASSESSMENT GUIDANCE SUMMARY

				IMPACT				
		Insignificant (1)	Minor (2)	Moderate (3)	Major (4)	Catastrophic (5)		
	Remote (1)	1	2	3	4	5		
LK	Unlikely (2)	2	4	6	8	10		1 - 4
KELIH	Likely (3)	3	6	9	12	15		5 - 9
ПООН	Highly Likely (4)	4	8	12	16	20		10 - 12
	Almost Certain (5)	5	10	15	20	25		15+

High Risk: review controls/actions every month

10 - 12 Significant Risk: review controls/actions every 3 mths

Medium Risk: review controls/actions every 6 months

Low Risk: review controls/actions at least annually

LIKELIHOOD KEY								
Remote (1) Unlikely (2) Possible (3) Likely (4) Definite (5)								
Expected	10-yearly	3-yearly	Annually	Quarterly	Monthly			
frequency 10-yearly 3-yearly Annually Quarterly Monthly								

IMPACT KEY							
Risk Impact Insignificant (1)		Minor (2)	Moderate (3)	Major (4)	Catastrophic (5)		
Compliance & Regulation	 Minor breach of internal regulations (not reportable) 	 Minor breach of external regulation (not reportable) 	 Breach of internal regulations leading to disciplinary action Breach of external regulations, reportable 	 Significant breach of external regulations leading to intervention or sanctions 	 Major breach leading to suspension or discontinuation of business and services 		
Financial	• <£50,000	• > £50,000 <£100,000	• >£100,000 <£1,000,000	• >£1,000,000 <£5,000,000	• >£5,000,000		
Service Delivery	 Disruption to one service for a period <1 week 	 Disruption to one service for a period of 2 weeks 	 Loss of one service for between 2-4 weeks 	 Loss of one or more services for a period of 1 month or more 	• Permanent cessation of service(s)		
Reputation	 Complaints from individuals / small groups of residents Low local coverage 	 Complaints from local stakeholders Adverse local media coverage 	 Broader based general dissatisfaction with the running of the Council Adverse national media coverage 	 Significant adverse national media coverage Resignation of Director(s) 	 Persistent adverse national media coverage Resignation / removal of CEX / elected Member 		
Health & Safety	 Minor incident resulting in little harm 	 Minor injury to Council employee or someone in the Council's care 	 Serious injury to Council employee or someone in the Council's care 	 Fatality to Council employee or someone in the Council's care 	 Multiple fatalities to Council employees or individuals in the Council's care 		